



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2003

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/428,134 | 10/26/1999 | JASMIN AJANOVIC | 042390.P6341 | 4288 |
| 7590 11/14/2003 | | | EXAMINER | |
| BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN | | | LEFKOWITZ, SUMATI | |
| 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | S, CA 900251026 | | 2189 | 25 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

Attachment(s)

6) [_] Other:

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Art Unit: 2189

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bell, 6,088,370.

As to claims 1, 2, 4-20, 22-35, and 37-66, Bell discloses the invention substantially as claimed, as Bell discloses an interface to transfer data directly between a memory control hub (MCH) and an input/output control hub (ICH) within a computer system, comprising a data signal path to transmit data in packets via split transactions, and a set of command signals, wherein the interface provides a point-to-point connection between the MCH and the ICH, exclusive of an external bus connected directly to the interface, wherein information is transmitted in source synchronous clock mode via request and completion packets including transaction descriptors (note Figures 1 and 2A and column 2, line 15 – column 3, line 25, wherein Bell discloses in column 2, lines 20-25 that the controller 115 can function as a bridge between a memory bus to which one or more memory devices are connected. Examiner is taking

Art Unit: 2189

the controller operating in this manner to be the memory control hub. Bell also discloses in column 2, lines 25-32 that the bus expander bridge 120 is coupled to two PCI buses 122 and 123, both of which have PCI devices coupled thereto. Examiner is taking the PCI devices coupled to the PCI buses to be I/O devices, and therefore the expander bridge 120 reads on the input/output control hub (ICH).)

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

The examiner cannot disregard Applicant's description of the claimed ICH as being able to support multiple buses with separate protocols. The claimed ICH is to be interpreted as being able to support multiple buses with separate protocols or support a single protocol.

Examiner is not disregarding Applicant's description in the specification (page 14, lines 1-9) of the claimed ICH as being able to support multiple buses with separate protocols <u>or</u> support a single protocol. Examiner is giving the claimed ICH its broadest reasonable interpretation consistent with the specification, In re Hyatt, 211 F.3d 1367, 1372, 54 USPQ2d 1664, 1667 (Fed. Cir. 2000). And the specification supports, as Applicant has pointed out, the interpretation of the ICH as being able to support multiple buses with separate protocols <u>or</u> support a single protocol. In order to meet this limitation then, since it is broadly recited in the claim and not specifically reciting one or the other of the interpretations, all that is required is for one of the interpretations to be met. And Bell does teach an ICH which supports a single protocol.

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134 Page 4

Art Unit: 2189

Examiner can read a claim in light of the specification, to interpret limitations explicitly recited in the claim, but cannot read limitations of the specification into a claim, to thereby narrow the scope of the claim by implicitly adding disclosed limitations which have no express basis in the claim. See In re Prater, 415 F.2d 1393, 1404-05, 162 USPQ 541, 550-51 (CCPA 1969) and MPEP 2111.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the ICH supporting multiple protocols) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

In addition, Examiner is citing the following references as teaching a single bridge which supports multiple protocols.

- 6,567,876 Stufflebeam Figures 1 and 2, Expansion Bridge with South Bridge
 Logic
- 6,308,255 Gorishek, IV et al. Figures 1, 2, and 9, Bus Bridge 12
- 6,101,566 Woods Figure 2, South Bridge Logic 100 and Expansion Bridge With South Bridge Logic 70
- 5,774,681 Kunishige Figure 3, DS-PCI/ISA Bridge 20

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134 Page 5

Art Unit: 2189

disclosure as the art discloses a single bridge supporting multiple protocols.

US Patents: 6,567,876 Stufflebeam 6,308,255 Gorishek, IV et al.

6,101,566 Woods et al. 5,774,681 Kunishige

6. This is an RCE of applicant's earlier Application No. 09/428,134. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sumati Lefkowitz whose telephone number is 703-308-7790. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00-2:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/428,134

Art Unit: 2189

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached at 703-305-4815.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

703-872-9306 for Official communications

703-746-5661 for Non-Official/Draft communications

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Sumati Lefkowitz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2189

sl

November 13, 2003